

---

## Screen A vs Screen B - vs third party

Posted by CharlieTango - 2007/10/14 21:09

---

Screen A - i haven't been in airspace where i see more than 1 threat at a time, when i have 3 threats depicted, i'll try A again but with 1 threat at a time :(

Screen B - the combination of data and map is more intuitive. differentiate between primary and 2ndary and even add altitude and trend and you really have a winner. :)

3rd party - i have a garmin 496 installed but i like the zaon screen too much to use a third party map this small and complex. i will feed output to my big screen blue mountain avionics efis / two. :unsure:

blue mountain has been receptive to improving their depiction and the 3rd party depiction as well as the size, readability, resolution and complexity all seem like issues.

awesome unit, can't wait till i can panel mount it. B)

=====

## Re:Screen A vs Screen B - vs third party

Posted by zaon - 2007/10/14 21:12

---

Thanks for the feedback. We will certainly do what we can with Screen B, but the limited pixel space makes primary target differentiation difficult (but possible), and adding altitude just not realistic. The text would be too small. But we'll see what we can do on making the primary target in bold (or something similar).

=====

## Re:Screen A vs Screen B - vs third party

Posted by Rob175 - 2007/10/18 08:40

---

I agree with the previous writer. SCREEN B is great, mainly because it's so intuitive a depiction.

I fly in a very busy environment (within 7 miles of ORD and near at least 6 other corporate type airports) and I almost always have 3 targets depicted. SCREEN B allows me to take a quick glance and understand immediately the traffic picture around me.

I have previously suggested to ZAON that they should try to figure out a way to make the "primary" target depicted stand out from the other two targets. Since color is not an option my suggestion would be to increase the size of the primary target.

ZAON said that they will look into possibility of making the primary target appear different from the other targets.

I look forward to that revision and I will be the first to send mine in for that type of enhancement.

Rob

=====

## Re:Screen A vs Screen B - vs third party

Posted by Rob175 - 2007/10/19 14:31

---

Just another thought on the subject of trying to indicate which is the "primary" target when using SCREEN B. If the primary target's icon could not be made larger then perhaps it could be made to rapidly blink on and off while the other two secondary targets would remain solid.

Just an idea, for what it's worth.

Rob

=====

---

## Re:Screen A vs Screen B - vs third party

Posted by Rob175 - 2007/10/20 17:13

---

I thought some may want to see my installation of the XRX in my Bonanza. I used a small block of black high density foam to support the bottom and used 2 small clear suction cups (hardware store) in each of the two corners that touch the glass. Both suction cups were glued to the XRX with a dab of clear silicone adhesive and when both are suctioned to the glass, hold the XRX firmly in place.

While the XRX is only 3.5" inches from the side post or the front windscreen I don't seem to have any problem picking up traffic targets in most all directions.

Rob

---

## Re:Screen A vs Screen B - vs third party

Posted by Rob175 - 2007/10/20 17:34

---

Heres a photo that I refered to in my post above.

Rob

<http://www.zaon.aero/images/fbfiles/images/XRX5.jpg>

---

## Re:Screen A vs Screen B - vs third party

Posted by zaon - 2007/10/21 22:27

---

Very ingenious mounting solution! How is the glue holding up?

---

## Re:Screen A vs Screen B - vs third party

Posted by Rob175 - 2007/10/22 08:07

---

Thank you.

The glue is holding up great! Actually I used a wonderful adhesive called "Sho-Goo" which was designed to repair gym shoes. It is much stronger than regular silicon glues, remains clear and remains flexible. Just a small dab is all that is needed. And once dry, if needed, can be pulled off using just your hands and does not leave the XRX with any visible signs of ever having the adhesive.

Both the mini suction cups and the Sho-Goo can be purchased at local Ace Hardware stores. The suction cups come with small metal hooks, but those metal hooks can easily be bent and removed.

Zaon, would be the definitive source, but I don't believe that either the adhesive or the suction cups would have any effect on the XRX's reception.

One additional item that I would like Zaon to consider for the next software upgrade would be to make it so that once the volume is set that it remain at that setting even if the unit is turned off then back on again. In other words it would be nice if the XRX would "remember" its volume setting.

Thanks,  
Rob

---